

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Dawn M. Jenkins	)	
Plaintiff	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	Civil Action
AFNI, INC.; DIVERSIFIED	)	No. 12-10622-WGY
CONSULTANTS, INC.; EQUIFAX	)	
INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC and	)	
EXPERIAN INFORMATION	)	
SOLUTIONS, INC,	)	
Defendants	)	
	)	

---

**MOTION TO DEEM FACTS ADMITTED**  
**AS AGAINST EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC**  
**FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH FRCP 36**

Plaintiff Dawn M Jenkins hereby moves this Court for an order finding that each request in Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admissions to Defendant Equifax Information Solutions, Inc (herein "Equifax") is deemed admitted as a matter of law.

**FACTS**

Plaintiff filed her First Amended Complaint against, Equifax, *et al* on June 15, 2012, asserting that Equifax a credit reporting agency, violated the FCRA and MGL by failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy when preparing credit reports purportedly concerning the Plaintiff; by repeatedly issuing credit reports to various third-parties, purportedly pertaining to the Plaintiff, which contained an erroneous entry which did not legitimately belong to the Plaintiff, despite having received actual notice, on repeated occasions, that such entry

was not hers or was otherwise inaccurate; and repeatedly violated their obligation to properly investigate disputed items in the Plaintiff's credit file maintained by them.

On January 12, 2012, the Plaintiff propounded discovery on Equifax in the form of "PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS TO DEFENDANT EQUIFAX" (herein FRFA) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 36, et seq. See Declaration of Dawn May Jenkins, attached hereto, and a true and correct copy of the following incorporated by reference;;

- a. FRFA mailed on January 12, 2013 to Equifax (Exhibit A) and;
- b. the email of January 12, 2013 showing the FRFA had been sent to Equifax (Exhibit B) and;
- c. the email of January 17, 2013 email received by Plaintiff from Equifax acknowledging its receipt of the FRFA (Exhibit C) and;
- d. the February 24, 2013 letter sent by email to Equifax (Exhibit D) and;
- e. the February 24, 2013 letter sent by certified mail to Equifax on February 25, 2013 (Exhibit E).

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 36(a)(3) and Rule 6(d), which provides for an additional three (3) days for documents served via mailing pursuant to Rule 5(b)(2)(C), Equifax's response to the FRFA was due on or before February 15, 2013. As set-forth in the declaration of the Plaintiff, as of the date of this filing, Equifax has failed to respond to the FRFA and has waited approximately more 12 days after the FRFA were due, to request that Plaintiff stipulate to an additional seven (7) days for it to answer five (5) admissions.

## **ARGUMENT**

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 36(a)(3) clearly sets forth the timeframe for responding to requests for admissions and the penalty for failing to do so – any matter not addressed within the thirty (30) day timeframe is deemed admitted. *See Lovejoy v. Owens*, 86 F.3d 1156, 1996 WL 287261, at \*1-3 (6th Cir. 1996) (table case); *Heller Fin., Inc. v. Pandhi*, 888 F.2d 1391, 1989 WL 136091, at \*4 (6th Cir. 1981) (table case)(upholding district court finding that par had forfeited right to challenge assertions of fact by failing to respond to requests for admission in time); *West Ky. Coal Co. v. Walling*, 153 F.3d 582m 587 (6th Cir. 1946) (matters upon which party had requested admission under Rule 36 were deemed admitted and no further proof was necessary because opposing party “neither specifically denied nor set forth any reasons why it could not truthfully admit or deny the facts concerning which admissions were requested”); *Chambers v. Ingram Cook Co.*, No. 09-14731, 2011 WL 4088681, at \*5 (E.D. Mi. Sept. 14, 2011) (“Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro 36(a)[(3)], a matter is admitted if a party fails to respond to a requesting party's written request to admit within 30 days after being served. A matter admitted is conclusively established in the action at issue.”). A matter deemed admitted is conclusively established for all purposes throughout the course of the litigation. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 3 6(b); *see also Chambers*, 2011 WL 4088681 at \*5.

As set forth in the attached declaration of Plaintiff and the February 24, 2013 letter, (Exhibit E), Equifax failed to respond to Plaintiff's FRFA. Therefore, Equifax should be deemed to have admitted each of the facts set forth in the Plaintiff's FRFA and those facts should be considered conclusively established for the remainder of this case, including for the purposes of any motion for summary judgment filed by Plaintiff.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant the Plaintiff's Motion and enter an Order finding each request set forth in the Plaintiff's FRFA to Defendant Equifax (attached as Exhibit A) is deemed admitted by Defendant Equifax for all purposes, and grant to the Plaintiff any further and additional relief that the Court deems just and warranted.

Dated: February 26, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

  
Dawn May Jenkins  
c/o 33 Eastern Avenue, Unit 2  
Lynn, Massachusetts 01902  
978-332-3798

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 26, 2013, I sent a true and correct copy

**MOTION TO DEEM FACTS ADMITTED AS AGAINST EQUIFAX INFORMATION**

**SERVICES, LLC**

**FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH FRCP 36**

by certified mail and by email

Brian J. Olson  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1180 Peachtree St. N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309  
T: 404-215-5806/ F: 404-572-5100  
[bjolson@kslaw.com](mailto:bjolson@kslaw.com)

Certified Mail 7012 0470 0002 4084 5118

and

Jason Curtin  
[jcurtin@rc.com](mailto:jcurtin@rc.com)  
ROBINSON & COLE  
One Boston Place  
Boston, MA 02108-4404  
Tel: (617) 557-5900

Certified Mail 7012 0470 0002 4084 5125

Defendants Counsel of Record  
Equifax Information Services LL

Respectfully submitted,

  
Plaintiff, Dawn May Jenkins